

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/519,237 | 12/23/2004 | Shizue Itou | 1217-045843 | 2512 | |
| | 7590 01/26/201 AW FIRM, P.C. | EXAMINER | | | |
| 700 KOPPERS BUILDING | | | MISKA, VIT W | | |
| 436 SEVENTE PITTSBURGH | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2833 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/26/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/519,237 | ITOU ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Vit W. Miska | 2833 | |

| | Vit W. Miska | 2833 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the f | eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this pation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the action in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request ontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| n. | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the priend of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if Checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | The state of the state of the state of | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | 6. 🔲 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | /Vit W. Miska/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2833 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

: Arguments are not convincing. Endo teaches that metallic casing and back may accommodate an antenna with suitable isolation form the casing. Megner, although discloses metallic casing with non conductive back, could be modified with teaching of Endo to include "metallic" back as claimed, and provide sufficient reception. Dimensions claimed have been shown previously to be non-critical in view of specified ranges being of orders of magnitude apart.